

Tulip Creation recognizes its role in promoting sustainable development and views it not just as a societal obligation but also as a chance to create positive impact. To achieve this, we strive to surpass the basic requirements of information disclosure and regulatory compliance by embracing sustainable practices. By doing so, we aim to benefit not only to our employees, customers, suppliers, investors, partners, and shareholders but also contribute towards the betterment of society.

During the 2023 period, a materiality assessment was conducted based on Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Principles to identify key sustainability priorities. Based on this assessment, the ESG KPI Roadmap is prepared, monitored and reported in accordance to the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Universal Standard 2021, ensuring a structured and transparent approach to measuring and reporting sustainability performance.

Environmental (E)

- Emissions
- Energy
- Water
- Air
- Bio Diversity
- Waste
- Product LCA
- Product Use
- Certifications

Social (S)

- Employment
- Human Rights
- Gender Equality
- Health & Safety
- Corporate Social Resp
- Certifications

Governance (G)

- Board Diversity
- Ethical Practices
- Customer Health and Safety
- Value Chain
- Certifications

Note: The copy of this document is sent to Employees, subcontractors, workers, suppliers and customers, NGO's and other stakeholders for their knowledge and acknowledgement.

RESPONSIBILITIES

The Environmental and Safety Head is tasked with monitoring and updating Environmental Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) on a monthly and quarterly basis, and subsequently presenting these updates to the company's management. Similarly, the Human Resource Head is responsible for tracking and updating Social and Governance KPIs monthly and quarterly, ensuring that the management receives regular updates on these metrics.

In addition, the Procurement Head oversees the tracking and updating of Sustainable Procurement KPIs on a monthly and quarterly basis, and communicates these updates to the company's management team.

Together, these department heads play a crucial role in ensuring that key sustainability metrics are regularly monitored, updated, and reported to the company's management for informed decision-making and continuous improvement efforts.

Location

Below locations were covered in order to collect the data and prepare the ESG KPI roadmap Up to 2030.

Sr. No.	Name	Address
1	Tulin Croation	D.No.3/10 C ATHUPALAYAM ROAD, 11 CHETTIPALAYAM
l	Tulip Creation	VILLAGE, POONDI, TIRUPUR-641 652

NOTE: As part of our commitment to transparency and continuous improvement, key performance data previously disclosed in ESG KPI Roadmap have been restated in this edition. Because the previously ESG KPI Roadmap contain information regarding all our group level location. So this time we have made ESG KPI Roadmap specific to our above mentioned site relaed.

PERIOD OF REVIEW

In alignment with our commitment to strategic alignment and operational efficiency, the Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) outlined in this document will undergo systematic reviews on a monthly and quarterly basis. These reviews are intended to facilitate timely adjustments and uphold our dedication to achieve our set targets.

During these reviews, the cumulative progress against the KPIs will be meticulously evaluated, and subsequent statuses will be updated accordingly. This structured review process is designed to provide a comprehensive understanding of our progress and identify areas requiring focused attention or adjustment.

Our current review cycle is synchronized with our annual target completion deadlines, set for December 31, 2030, respectively, for the calendar year 2030. These deadlines serve as critical benchmarks for evaluating our year-long performance and strategic direction. By adhering to this approach, we ensure a consistent and detailed assessment of our progress towards predefined objectives, enabling us to maintain a trajectory of growth and success.

GUIDELINESS FOLLOWED

1) Global Reporting initiative Standard

The company has meticulously followed this standard in order to evaluate, monitor and report the data and information in accordance to the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Universal Standards 2021, ensuring our sustainability metrics align with the world's most widely recognized framework for transparency and accountability. This approach allows us to not only measure our environmental, social, and governance (ESG) impacts accurately but also to benchmark our progress against global best practices. By adhering GRI Standards, we aim to offer a clear, consistent, and comparative overview of our sustainability performance, facilitating informed stakeholder engagement and underscoring our commitment to make a tangible, positive impact on both the planet and our communities.

Environmental KPIs in accordance to GRI

GRI Standard	Indicator	Description
GRI 305:	305-1, 305-2,	Tracks Scope 1, Scope 2, Scope 3 GHG emissions, GHG emissions intensity,
Emissions	305-3, 305-4,	and reduction targets.
	305-5	
GRI 302:	302-1, 302-4	Captures energy consumption, reductions achieved, and renewable energy
Energy		usage.
GRI 303: Water	303-3, 303-5	Tracks water withdrawal, water treated and recycled, rainwater harvesting,
		and water intensity.

Note: The copy of this document is sent to Employees, subcontractors, workers, suppliers and customers, NGO's and other stakeholders for their knowledge and acknowledgement.

GRI 306: Waste	306-2, 306-4	Tracks total waste generated, waste diverted from landfills, and waste recovered.
GRI 301:	301-2, 301-3	Measures the use of recycled materials, reporting on end-of-life treatment,
Materials		and customer returns.
GRI 417:	417-1	Tracks customer participation in company-led recycling programs.
Marketing and		
Labeling		
GRI 304:	304-2, 304-4	Tracks land dedicated to biodiversity, biodiversity training, and support for
Biodiversity		native species.

Social KPIs in accordance to GRI

GRI Standard	Indicator	Description
GRI 408: Child	408-1	Tracks incidents and risks of child labor across operations and suppliers.
Labor		
GRI 409:	409-1	Tracks incidents and risks of forced labor across operations and suppliers.
Forced Labor		
GRI 401:	401-1, 401-2	Measures turnover rate, new hires, and employee benefits coverage.
Employment		
GRI 404:	404-1, 404-2,	Tracks total training hours, training hours per employee, career management
Training and	404-3	programs, and performance reviews.
Education		

GRI 202: Market	202-1, 202-2	Tracks wages compared to minimum/living wages, subcontractor wage compliance, and local hiring practices.
Presence		
GRI 405:	405-1, 405-2	Tracks workforce diversity, gender balance, representation of minorities, and
Diversity and		gender pay equality.
Equal		
Opportunity		
GRI 403:	403-1, 403-2,	Tracks health and safety committee representation, injuries, lost time
Occupational	403-5	incidents, and health & safety training.
Health and		
Safety		
GRI 412:	412-1, 412-2	Tracks human rights impact assessments and training provided on human
Human Rights		rights policies.
Assessments		
GRI 406: Non-	406-1	Tracks reported cases of discrimination and corrective actions taken.
discrimination		
GRI 413: Local	413-1, 413-2	Tracks social initiatives and incidents of non-compliance with working
Communities		condition principles.

Governance KPIs in accordance to GRI:

GRI Standard	Indicator	Description
GRI 205: Anti-	205-1, 205-	Tracks operations assessed for corruption risks, anti-corruption training, and
Corruption	2, 205-3	reported incidents of corruption and bribery.

GRI 418:	418-1	Tracks complaints, confirmed incidents, and trading partners' due diligence on
Customer		information security.
Privacy		
GRI 308:	308-1, 308-	Tracks suppliers evaluated for ESG performance and integration of sustainability
Supplier	2	clauses in contracts.
Environmental		
Assessment		
GRI 414:	414-1, 414-	Tracks CSR reporting, assessments, non-conformities, and corrective actions
Supplier	2	among suppliers.
Social		
Assessment		
GRI 416:	416-1, 416-	Tracks customer safety training sessions, complaints related to product/service
Customer	2	use, and satisfaction rates.
Health and		
Safety		
GRI 307:	307-1	Tracks complaints on violations of collective bargaining agreements and audits
Environmental		for business ethics compliance.
Compliance		
GRI 404:	404-1	Tracks workforce training on business ethics issues and employee feedback on
Training and		training sessions.
Education		

2) Green House Gas Protocol

In accordance our greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions tracking and reporting processes, the company rigorously

follows the principles and guidelines set forth by the Greenhouse Gas Protocol. This comprehensive standard enables us to accurately quantify and manage our GHG emissions across different scopes, providing a clear framework for emission reduction initiatives and



sustainability strategies. Adopting the GHG Protocol not only enhances our environmental stewardship but also ensures our emissions data is transparent, verifiable, and in harmony with global efforts to combat climate change.

Envi	ron	me	Intal

ESG Area	2022 Baseline	2023 Performance (Target/Actual)	2024 Performance (Target/Actual)	2025 Performance (Target/Actual) (Jan to Jul)	2030 Target	UN - SDGs
	Scope 1 GHG (tCO ₂ eq)	Scope 1 GHG (tCO2eq)	Scope 1 GHG (tCO2eq)	Scope 1 GHG (tCO2eq)	Scope 1 GHG (tCO2eq) 22% Reduction(Target)	
	4.33	4.23/4.45	4.0/3.85	4.1/1.61	3.3/	
	Scope 2 GHG (tCO2eq)	Scope 2 GHG (tCO2eq)	Scope 2 GHG (tCO2eq)	Scope 2 GHG (tCO2eq)	Scope 2 GHG (tCO2eq) 21% Reduction(Target)	
	64.74	63.22/66.20	62.34/68.72	61.00/30.09	51.14/	
Greenhouse Gas (GHG)	Scope 3 GHG (tCO2eq)	Scope 3 GHG (tCO2eq)	Scope 3 GHG (tCO2eq)	Scope 3 GHG (tCO2eq)	Scope 3 GHG (tCO2eq) 23% Reduction(Target)	13 CLIMATE ACTION
(Carbon	1,579.47	1575/1804.93	1520/1782.33	1402/857.23	1216.19/	
Footprint or intensity)	Scope 3 Downstream GHG Emission (tCO2eq)	Scope 3 Downstream GHG Emission (tCO2eq)	Scope 3 Downstream GHG Emission (tCO2eq)	Scope 3 Downstream GHG Emission (tCO2eq)	Scope 3 Downstream GHG Emission (tCO2eq) (Target)	
	10.71	10.52/12.85	10.37/12.32	10.02/5.93	9.6/	
	Scope 3 Upstream GHG Emission (tCO2eq)	Scope 3 Upstream GHG Emission (tCO2eq)	Scope 3 Upstream GHG Emission (tCO2eq)	Scope 3 Upstream GHG Emission (tCO2eq)	Scope 3 Upstream GHG Emission (tCO2eq) (Target)	
	1.49	1.32/1.70	1.12/1.70	1.02/0.94	0.90/	

	CHC F : :	CUC F · · · · · ·			CUCE : :
	GHG Emission	GHG Emission Intensity	GHG Emission Intensity	GHG Emission Intensity	
	Intensity (Scope 1 + Scope 2)	(Scope 1 + Scope 2) (tCO2eq / Revenue (in	(Scope 1 + Scope 2) (tCO2eq / Revenue (in Cr.))	(Scope 1 + Scope 2) (tCO2eq / Revenue (in	Intensity (Scope 1 + Scope 2)
	(tCO2eq / Revenue (in	•	/ Revenue (iii Ci .))	Cr .))	(tCO2eq / Revenue (
	(tCO2eq / Revenue (iii	(1.))		Ci .))	Cr .)) (Target)
	(1))				Ci .)) (larget)
	2.25	2.25/2.25	2.24/2.28	2.18/	2.10/
	People Trained on	People Trained on GHG	# People Trained on GHG	# People Trained on	People Trained on
	GHG (Manhours)	(Manhours)	(Manhours)	GHG (Manhours)	GHG (Manhours)
					(Target)
	50 hrs	55/60 hrs	60hrs/65hrs	70 hrs/73hrs	75 hrs /
Environmental	% of operational sites	% of operational sites	% of operational sites	% of operational sites	% of operational sites
Invironmentai	assessed on specific	assessed on specific	assessed on specific	assessed on specific	assessed on specific
Risk	environmental risks	environmental risks	environmental risks	environmental risks	environmental risks
Assessment	100 %	100 %/100%	100 %/100%	100 %/100%	100 %/
- 	# of Complaints	# of Complaints	# of Complaints	# of Complaints	# of Complaints
Environmental		0.40	0.40	0.4	
Complaints	0	0/0	0/0	0/	0/
	Total energy	Total energy	Total energy consumption (in	Total energy	Total energy
	consumption (in	consumption (in KWH)	KWH)	consumption (in KWH)	consumption (in
	KWH)				KWH)
					12% Reduction
Energy	90,547	89281.68/92,	87252.79/96,116	84257.2/41,3	79681.36/
Lifeigy		589		87	
	Energy Intensity	Energy Intensity	Energy Intensity	Energy Intensity	Energy Intensity
	(KWH/Revenue (in Cr.)	(KWH/Revenue (in Cr.)	(KWH/Revenue (in Cr.)	(KWH/Revenue (in Cr.)	(KWH/Revenue (in
					Cr.)(Target)
	2945.23	29800/3073.44	29500/3054.32	29500/	29000/

	Total renewable energy consumption (in KWH)	Total renewable energy consumption (in KWH)	Total renewable energy consumption (in KWH)	Total renewable energy consumption (in KWH)	Total renewable energy consumption (in KWH)	
	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	6,000	
	Renewable Energy against Total Energy (%)	Renewable Energy against Total Energy (%)	Renewable Energy against Total Energy (%)	Renewable Energy against Total Energy (%)	Renewable Energy against Total Energy (%)	
	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	30%/	
	People Trained on Energy Efficiency (Manhours)	People Trained on Energy Efficiency (Manhours)	People Trained on Energy Efficiency (Manhours)	People Trained on Energy Efficiency (Manhours)	People Trained on Energy Efficiency (Manhours) (Target)	
	40 hrs	48/60 hrs	50 hrs/65hrs	60 hrs/70hrs	80 hrs/	
Water	Total Water consumption (in Cubic Meter /Year)	Total Water consumption (in Cubic Meter /Year)	Total Water consumption (in Cubic Meter /Year)	Total Water consumption (in Cubic Meter/Year)	Total Water consumption (in Cubic Meter / Year) (Target) 30% Reduction	6 CLEAN WATER
	8	7.98/9	7.56/8	6.79/3	5.6	**
	Total Water recycled and reused (in Cubic Meter / Year)	Total Water recycled and reused (in Cubic Meter / Year)	Total Water recycled and reused (in Cubic Meter /Year)	Total Water recycled and reused (in Cubic Meter / Year)	Total Water recycled and reused (in Cubic Meter/ Year) (Target)	
	60	62/70	63/75	65/30	70/	
	Total Rain Water Harvested (in Kilo Litres / Year)	Total Rain Water Harvested (in Kilo Litres / Year)	Total Rain Water Harvested (in Kilo Litres / Year)	Total Rain Water Harvested (in Kilo Litres / Year)	Total Rain Water Harvested (in Kilo Litres / Year) (Target)	



	NIL	NIL	5/4	10/9	30/
	Total water consumption per employee (in Litres / Employee / Day)	Total water consumption per employee (in Litres / Employee)	Total water consumption per employee (in Litres / Employee)	Total water consumption per employee (in Litres / Employee)	Total water consumption per employee (in Litres / Employee) (Target)
	18.9 Ltr	18.5/17.2 Ltr	18.0 Ltr/17.1 Ltr	16.56 Ltr	15 Ltr
Water	Total Water Treated (In % of Total Water Consumption)	Total Water Treated (In % of Total Water consumption)	Total Water Treated (In % of Total Water consumption)	Total Water Treated (In % of Total Water consumption)	Total Water Treated (In % of Total Water consumption) (Target)
	35%	70%/75%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%
	Water Intensity (Water consumed / Revenue (in Cr.))	Water Intensity (Water consumed / Revenue (in Cr.)	Water Intensity (Water consumed / Revenue (in Cr.)	Water Intensity (Water consumed / Revenue (in Cr.)	Water Intensity (Water consumed / Revenue (in Cr.)
	0.26	0.26/0.29	0.25/0.25	0.21/	0.2
	People Trained on Water Efficiency (Manhours)	People Trained on Water Efficiency (Manhours)	People Trained on Water Efficiency (Manhours)	People Trained on Water Efficiency (Manhours)	People Trained on Water Efficiency (Manhours)
	20hrs	30hrs /34hrs	45hrs/45hrs	55hrs/58hrs	70hrs/
	SO (Minor (122)	SO (Minor (122)	SO (Minn (n.2)	CO (Min (12))	CO (Min (122)
ir Pollution	SOx (Micro /m3) (Limit /Result)	SOx (Micro /m3) (Limit /Result)	SOx (Micro /m3) (Limit /Result)	SOx (Micro /m3) (Limit /Result)	SOx (Micro /m3) (Limit /Result)
	≤ 80/ 29.6	≤ 80/27.5	≤ 80/24.4	≤ 80/22.3	≤80 /

(Actual /Limit) 16.2/≤180	(Actual /Limit) 17.5/ ≤180	(Actual /Limit) 17.7/≤180	(Actual /Limit) 17.9/≤180	(Actual /Limit) /≤180
BDL /≤1.0 Ozone (mg/M³)	BDL/≤1.0 Ozone (mg/M³)	BDL/≤1.0 Ozone (mg/M³)	BDL/≤1.0 Ozone (mg/M³)	/1.0 Ozone (mg/M³)
Lead (mg/M³) (Actual /Limit)				
≤400 /11.47	≤400 / 11.3	≤400 / 10.90	≤400 / 10.74	≤400
Ammonia (mg/M³) (Limit /Result)				
≤60/18	≤60/023	≤60/025	≤60/026	≤60
PM2.5 (mg/M³) (Limit /Result)				
≤100/ 54	≤100/66	≤100/70	≤100/72	≤100
PM ₁₀ (mg/M ³) (Limit /Result)				
≤80/30.3	≤80/29.7	≤80/25.5	≤80/21.4	≤80
NOx (Micro /m3) (Limit /Result)				

	Day Time Noise Level	Day Time Noise Level	Day Time Noise Level	Day Time Noise Level	Day Time Noise Level
	dB (A) Leq	dB (A) Leq	dB (A) Leq	dB (A) Leq	dB (A) Leq
	Near Plant	Near Plant	Near Plant	Near Plant	Near Plant
	(Actual / Limit)	(Actual / Limit)	(Actual / Limit)	(Actual / Limit)	(Actual / Limit)
Noice	65.38/75	64.73/75	63.54/75	62.14/75	/75
Noise	Night Time Noise	Night Time Noise Level	Night Time Noise Level	Night Time Noise Level	Night Time Noise
	Level	dB (A) Leq	dB (A) Leq	dB (A) Leq	Level
	dB (A) Leq Near Plant	Near Plant	Near Plant	Near Plant	dB (A) Leq Near Plant
	(Actual / Limit)	(Actual / Limit)	(Actual / Limit)	(Actual / Limit)	(Actual / Limit)
	59.89/70	60.27/70	60.35/70	60.42/70	/70
	Number of native	Number of native	Number of native species	Number of native	Number of native
	species supported	species supported	supported	species supported	species supported
					(Target)
	5	7/6	10/9	10/9	10 /
Biodiversity	% of total workforce	% of total workforce	% of total workforce received	% of total workforce	% of total workforce
	received training on	received training on	training on Biodiversity	received training on	received training on
Conservation	Biodiversity conservation	Biodiversity conservation	conservation	Biodiversity conservation	Biodiversity conservation
	Conscivation	Conscivation		conscivation	Conscivation
	50%	60%/60%	70%/75%	80%/80%	100% /
	% of total department	% of total department	% of total department	% of total department	% of total
	covered in Internal	covered in Internal risk	covered in Internal risk	covered in Internal risk	department covered
	risk assessment Biodiversity	assessment Biodiversity	assessment Biodiversity	assessment Biodiversity	in Internal risk
	blodiversity			blodiversity	assessment Biodiversity
					,
	100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100% /

	land dedicated to biodiversity conservation (acres)	land dedicated to biodiversity conservation (acres)	land dedicated to biodiversity conservation (acres)	land dedicated to biodiversity conservation (acres)	land dedicated to biodiversity conservation (acres)
	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
	Number of Awareness session conducted on Biodiversity conservation	Number of Awareness session conducted on Biodiversity conservation	Number of Awareness session conducted on Biodiversity conservation	Number of Awareness session conducted on Biodiversity conservation	Number of Awareness session conducted on Biodiversity conservation
	1	1/1	1/1	1/1	3 /
	Complaints received on Biodiversity	Complaints received on Biodiversity	Complaints received on Biodiversity	Complaints received on Biodiversity	Complaints received on Biodiversity
	0	0/0	0 /0	0 /0	0 /
	Total Waste Generated (in Metric Tonnes)	Total Waste Generated (in Metric Tonnes)	Total Waste Generated (in Metric Tonnes)	Total Waste Generated (in Metric Tonnes)	Total Waste Generated (in Metric Tonnes) (Target) (15% Reduction)
	9	9/10	8/9	8/3.50	7.65/
Waste	Total Hazardous Waste Generation (in Metric Tonnes)	Total Hazardous Waste Generation (in Metric Tonnes)	Total Hazardous Waste Generation (in Metric Tonnes)	Total Hazardous Waste Generation (in Metric Tonnes)	Total Hazardous Waste Generation (in Metric Tonnes) (Target)
Generation		0.40	0.40	0.40	
	Total non-Hazardous	0/0 Total non-Hazardous	0/0 Total non-Hazardous Waste	0/0 Total non-Hazardous	Total non-Hazardous
	Waste Generation (in Metric Tonnes)	Waste Generation (in Metric Tonnes)	Generation (in Metric Tonnes)	Waste Generation (in Metric Tonnes)	Waste Generation (in Metric Tonnes)

0	1/0	5/5	5/5	8
Reporting on Product End of Life Treatment	Reporting on Product End of Life Treatment	Reporting on Product End of Life Treatment	Reporting on Product End of Life Treatment	Reporting on Product End of Life Treatment (Target)
0	10/5	12/4	13/4	4
Total weight of waste recovered (Tonne / Year)	Total weight of waste recovered (Tonne / Year) (Target / Actual)	Total weight of waste recovered (Tonne / Year) (Target / Actual)	Total weight of waste recovered (Tonne / Year) (Target / Actual)	Total weight of waste recovered (Tonne / Year) (Target / Actual)
35%	70%/70%	100%/100%	100%/	100%
Total Waste Water recycled in a year (in Metric Tonnes)	Total Waste Water recycled in a year (in Metric Tonnes)	Total Waste Water recycled in a year (in Metric Tonnes)	Total Waste Water recycled in a year (in Metric Tonnes)	Total Waste Water recycled in a year (in Metric Tonnes)
1	1/1	1/1	1/1	1 1
WEEE Generated (in Metric Tonnes)	WEEE Generated (in Metric Tonnes)	WEEE Generated (in Metric Tonnes)	WEEE Generated (in Metric Tonnes)	WEEE Generated (in Metric Tonnes) (Target)
98 %	99 %/99%	99 %/99%	99 %/99%	100 %
% of total waste from company operations diverted from landfills	% of total waste from company operations diverted from landfills	% of total waste from company operations diverted from landfills	% of total waste from company operations diverted from landfills	% of total waste from company operations diverted from andfills (Target)
9	9/10	8/9	8/3.50	(Target) (12% Reduction) 7.65

	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	1	
	Reporting on Product End of Life Treatment	Reporting on Product End of Life Treatment	Reporting on Product End of Life Treatment	Reporting on Product End of Life Treatment	Reporting on Product End of Life Treatment(Target)	
	90%	90%//90%	95%//96%	95%/	100%	
	O.80% The percentage of customers who are aware of and participate in the company's recycling programs.	0.78%/0.60% The percentage of customers who are aware of and participate in the company's recycling programs	0.72%/0.60% The percentage of customers who are aware of and participate in the company's recycling programs.	0.60%/0.30% The percentage of customers who are aware of and participate in the company's recycling programs.	O.20% The percentage of customers who are aware of and participate in the company's recycling programs. (Target)	12 RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION
	The percentage of products returned by customers compared to the total number of products sold.	The percentage of products returned by customers compared to the total number of products sold.	The percentage of products returned by customers compared to the total number of products sold.	The percentage of products returned by customers compared to the total number of products sold.	The percentage of products returned by customers compared to the total number of products sold.(Target)	
and product End of Life	recycled materials.	materials. 100 % /100%	100 % /100%	materials. 100 %/100%	recycled materials.(Target)	
Product use	Percentage of products designed using sustainable or	Percentage of products designed using sustainable or recycled	Percentage of products designed using sustainable or recycled materials.	Percentage of products designed using sustainable or recycled	products designed using sustainable or	

	% of saving from efficient product design	% of saving from efficient product design	% of saving from efficient product design	% of saving from efficient product design	% of saving from efficient product design	
	0	1/1	2/2	3/3	5	
	Percentage of recycled input material out of total materials consumed	Percentage of recycled input material out of total materials consumed	Percentage of recycled input material out of total materials consumed	Percentage of recycled input material out of total materials consumed	Percentage of recycled input material out of total materials consumed	
	15%	25%/30%	25%/30%	30%/31%	40%	
	Number of awareness session with customer on disposal of product after use	Number of awareness session with customer on disposal of product after use	Number of awareness session with customer on disposal of product after use	Number of awareness session with customer on disposal of product after use	Number of awareness session with customer on disposal of product after use	
	1	1/2	1/2	1/1	5	12 RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION
Sustainable	% of customers	% of customers	% of customers covered in	% of customers	% of customers	AND PRODUCTION
Consumption	covered in awareness session on disposal of product after use	covered in awareness session on disposal of product after use	awareness session on disposal of product after use	session on disposal of product after use	covered in awareness session on disposal of product after use	
	100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%	
	% of company's customer base has actively engaged in sustainable consumption practices	% of company's customer base has actively engaged in sustainable consumption practices	% of company's customer base has actively engaged in sustainable consumption practices	% of company's customer base has actively engaged in sustainable consumption practices	% of company's customer base has actively engaged in sustainable consumption practices	
	100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%	

	% of Employees Trained Sustainable Sourcing of Raw material	% of Employees Trained Sustainable Sourcing of Raw material	% of Employees Trained Sustainable Sourcing of Raw material	% of Employees Trained Sustainable Sourcing of Raw material	% of Employees Trained Sustainable Sourcing of Raw material (Target)
	35%	50%/50%	60%/60%	70%/75%	90%
	% of Sustainable Sourcing of Raw material	% of Sustainable Sourcing of Raw material	% of Sustainable Sourcing of Raw material	% of Sustainable Sourcing of Raw material	% of Sustainable Sourcing of Raw material (Target)
Sustainable	45%	70% /60%	85% /85%	90%/90%	95%
Sourcing	Buyers training on Sustainable Procurement (manhours)	Buyers training on Sustainable Procurement (manhours)	Buyers training on Sustainable Procurement (manhours)	Buyers training on Sustainable Procurement (manhours)	Buyers training on Sustainable Procurement (manhours)
	50	100/89	100/100	100/100	120/
	% of supplier Engage in Capacity building				
	13%	25%/ 25%	40%/40%	50%/50%	70%/
Environmental Certificate	Percentage of operational sites with an environmental certification, such as ISO 14001	Percentage of operational sites with an environmental certification, such as ISO 14001	Percentage of operational sites with an environmental certification, such as ISO 14001	Percentage of operational sites with an environmental certification, such as ISO 14001	Percentage of operational sites with an environmental certification, such as ISO 14001 (Target)
	100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%

	5%	10%/10%	20%/20%	30%/30%	40%
	% of participants in our environmental education programs reported an increase in their understanding of sustainable practices	% of participants in our environmental education programs reported an increase in their understanding of sustainable practices	% of participants in our environmental education programs reported an increase in their understanding of sustainable practices	% of participants in our environmental education programs reported an increase in their understanding of sustainable practices	% of participants in our environmental education programs reported an increase in their understanding of sustainable practices
service and advocacy	5	5/5	8/8	4/4	10/
Environmental	# Number of environmental service and advocacy events organized	# Number of environmental service and advocacy events organized	# Number of environmental service and advocacy events organized	# Number of environmental service and advocacy events organized	# Number of environmental service and advocacy events organized
	50%	70%/70%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/
	% Customers Participating in product related Training Programs	% Customers Participating in product related Training Programs	% Customers Participating in product-related Training Programs	% Customers Participating in product-related Training Programs	% Customers Participating in service-related Training Programs (Target)
	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	Percentage of operational sites with an environmental certification, such as ISO 50001	Percentage of operational sites with an environmental certification, such as ISO 50001	Percentage of operational sites with an environmental certification, such as ISO 50001	Percentage of operational sites with an environmental certification, such as ISO 50001	Percentage of operational sites with an environmental certification, such as ISO 50001 (Target)

	% of used products collected for recycling were successfully processed and recycled	% of used products collected for recycling were successfully processed and recycled	% of used products collected for recycling were successfully processed and recycled	% of used products collected for recycling were successfully processed and recycled	% of used products collected for recycling were successfully processed and recycled
	0%	5%/ <mark>1%</mark>	10%/10%	15%/15%	30%
Training	% of total workforce received training on environmental issues	% of total workforce received training on environmental issues	% of total workforce received training on environmental issues	% of total workforce received training on environmental issues	% of total workforce received training on environmental issues (Target)
	13.33%	14%/14.60%	15%/15%	15%/15%	95%

		A	п
		Δ	
U	└ ।		ш

ESG Area	2022 Baseline	2023 Target / Actual Performance	2024 Target / Actual Performance	2025 Target / Actual Performance (Jan to Jul)	2030 Target	UN - SDGs
	Child Labour (%)	Child Labour (%)	Child Labour (%)	Child Labour (%)	Child Labour (%) (Target)	
	0/0	0 /0	0/0	0 /0	0	
	Force Labour (%)	Force Labour (%)	Force Labour (%)	Force Labour (%)	Force Labour (%) (Target)	
	0 /0	0 /0	0 /0	0 /0	0	
Employment	Human Trafficking (%)	Human Trafficking (%)	Human Trafficking (%)	Human Trafficking (%)	Human Trafficking (%) (Target)	8 DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH
	0 /0	0 /0	0 /0	0 /0	0	
	Attrition Ratio (%)	Attrition Ratio (%)	Attrition Ratio (%)	Attrition Ratio (%)	Attrition Ratio (%) (Target)	
	8%	6%/ <mark>7%</mark>	5%/6%	3%/3%	2%	
	Total Training Hours of employees	Total Training Hours of employees	Total Training Hours of employees	Total Training Hours of employees	Total Training Hours of employees(Target)	
	4540 hrs	5010 hrs /	5150 hrs/5150	5500 hrs/5507	6000 hrs	
		5050 hrs	hrs	hrs		

Total Training Hours per employee	Total Training Hours per employee	Total Training Hours per employee	Total Training Hours per employee	Total Training Hours per employee (Target)	
33.62	37.11/37.40	38.14/40.12	40.74/ 42.36	50/	
Average Salary above Minimum Wages (INR)	Average Salary above Minimum Wages (INR)	Average Salary above Minimum Wages (INR)	Average Salary above Minimum Wages (INR)	Average Salary above Minimum Wages (INR)	
14,000	15,000/15000	17,000 /17000	19,500 /19,500	20,500	
Pay ratio between the highest-paid person and the median employee (%)	Pay ratio between the highest-paid person and the median employee (%)	Pay ratio between the highest-paid person and the median employee (%)	Pay ratio between the highest-paid person and the median employee (%)	Pay ratio between the highest-paid person and the median employee (%)(Target)	8 DECENT WO
6:1	6:1	5:1	5:1	3:1	
Employees Above Living wage rules	Employees Above Living wage rules	Employees Above Living wage rules	Employees Above Living wage rules	Employees Above Living wage rules	
100 %	100%/100%	100% /100%	100%/100%	100%	
Subcontractor's Workers Covered under Minimum Wage / Living wage rules	Subcontractor's Workers Covered under Minimum Wage / Living wage rules	Subcontractor's Workers Covered under Minimum Wage / Living wage rules	Subcontractor's Workers Covered under Minimum Wage / Living wage rules	Subcontractor's Workers Covered under Minimum Wage / Living wage rules	
100 %	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%	
Hiring of Local People (%)	Hiring of Local People (%)	Hiring of Local People (%)	Hiring of Local People (%)	Hiring of Local People (%)	
34%	36%/37%	37%/39%	37%/39%	40%	

Hiring of People with Disability (%)					
1%	5%/3%	5%/4%	5%/5%	6%	
Hiring of Vulnerable / Marginalized People at Top Management level (%)	Hiring of Vulnerable / Marginalized People at Top Management level (%)	Hiring of Vulnerable / Marginalized People at Top Management level (%)	Hiring of Vulnerable / Marginalized People at Top Management level (%)	Hiring of Vulnerable / Marginalized People at Top Management level (%)	
0%	2%/1%	2%/1%	2%/1%	3%	
Hiring of Vulnerable / Marginalized People in company (%)	Hiring of Vulnerable / Marginalized People in company (%)	GOOD H			
0%	6.0%/4%	7.0%/6.0%	7.5%/6.0%	8%	– γ
% of your employees with disabilities feel included and supported in the workplace?	% of your employees with disabilities feel included and supported in the workplace?	% of your employees with disabilities feel included and supported in the workplace?	% of your employees with disabilities feel included and supported in the workplace?	% of your employees with disabilities feel included and supported in the workplace?	
0%	0%/0%	0.45%/0.45%	0.45%/0.45%	0.5%	
% of Highest Paid vs. Median Compensation:	% of Highest Paid vs. Median Compensation:				
0%	5%/1%	5%/ <mark>3%</mark>	5%/5%	5%	
Lost time injury (LTI) frequency rate for direct workforce	Lost time injury (LTI) frequency rate for direct workforce	Lost time injury (LTI) frequency rate for direct workforce	Lost time injury (LTI) frequency rate for direct workforce	Lost time injury (LTI) frequency rate for direct workforce	

Health & Safety	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0
Incidents / Accidents	Lost time injury (LTI) frequency rate for Subcontractor's Workers	Lost time injury (LTI) frequency rate for Subcontractor's Workers	Lost time injury (LTI) frequency rate for Subcontractor's Workers	Lost time injury (LTI) frequency rate for Subcontractor's Workers	Lost time injury (LTI) frequency rate for Subcontractor's Workers
	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0
	Lost time Severity (LTI) frequency rate for Employees	Lost time Severity (LTI) frequency rate for Employees	Lost time Severity (LTI) frequency rate for Employees	Lost time Severity (LTI) frequency rate for Employees	Lost time Severity (LTI) frequency rate for Employees
	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0
	Lost time Severity (LTI) frequency rate for Subcontractor's Workers	Lost time Severity (LTI) frequency rate for Subcontractor's Workers	Lost time Severity (LTI) frequency rate for Subcontractor's Workers	Lost time Severity (LTI) frequency rate for Subcontractor's Workers	Lost time Severity (LTI) frequency rate for Subcontractor's Workers
	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0
	# of Work-related Incidents	# of Work-related Incidents	# of Work-related Incidents	# of Work-related Incidents	# of Work-related Incidents
	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0
	# of Fatal Incidents	# of Fatal Incidents	# of Fatal Incidents	# of Fatal Incidents	# of Fatal Incidents
	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0
	Number of days lost to work-related injuries, fatalities and ill health	Number of days lost to work-related injuries, fatalities and ill health	Number of days lost to work-related injuries, fatalities and ill health	Number of days lost to work-related injuries, fatalities and ill health	Number of days lost to work-related injuries, fatalities and ill health

	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0
	% of operational sites an employee health &	% of operational sites an employee health &	% of operational sites an employee health &	% of operational sites an employee health & safety	% of operational sites an employee health &
	safety risk assessment has been conducted	safety risk assessment has been conducted	safety risk assessment has been conducted	risk assessment has been conducted	safety risk assessment has been conducted (Target)
	100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%
	% of the total workforce across all locations represented in formal joint management-worker health & safety committees	% of the total workforce across all locations represented in formal joint management- worker health & safety committees	% of the total workforce across all locations represented in formal joint management- worker health & safety committees	% of the total workforce across all locations represented in formal joint management-worker health & safety committees	% of the total workforce across all locations represented in formal joint management-worker health & safety committees (Target)
	100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%
	People Trained on Health & Safety (in Manhours)	People Trained on Health & Safety (in Manhours)	People Trained on Health & Safety (in Manhours)	People Trained on Health & Safety (in Manhours)	People Trained on Health & Safety (in Manhours) (Target)
	1608hr	1800hrs/1700 hr	1900hrs/1895 hr	2000hrs/1975hr	2200hr
Human Rights	% of direct employees covered by a living wage benchmarking analysis	% of direct employees covered by a living wage benchmarking analysis	% of direct employees covered by a living wage benchmarking analysis	% of direct employees covered by a living wage benchmarking analysis	% of direct employees covered by a living wage benchmarking analysis (Target)
	100%	100% /100%	100% /100%	100% /100%	100%

	100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%	
Rights	representatives				representatives (target)	(=)
Human	% of the total workforce covered by formally-elected employee	% of the total workforce covered by formally-elected employee representatives	% of the total workforce covered by formally-elected employee representatives	% of the total workforce covered by formally-elected employee representatives	% of the total workforce covered by formally-elected employee	10 REDUCED INEQUALITI
	100%	100 %/100%	100 %/100%	100 %/100%	100 %	
	Sub Contractor's Workers in Agreement with Employee Code of Conduct (%)	Sub Contractor's Workers in Agreement with Employee Code of Conduct (%)	Sub Contractor's Workers in Agreement with Employee Code of Conduct (%)	Sub Contractor's Workers in Agreement with Employee Code of Conduct (%)	Sub Contractor's Workers in Agreement with Employee Code of Conduct (%)	
	0%	0% /0%	0% /0%	0% /0%	0%	
	% of average wage gap for direct employees paid below living wage against a living wage benchmark	% of average wage gap for direct employees paid below living wage against a living wage benchmark	% of average wage gap for direct employees paid below living wage against a living wage benchmark	% of average wage gap for direct employees paid below living wage against a living wage benchmark	% of average wage gap for direct employees paid below living wage against a living wage benchmark (Target)	
	0%	0% /0%	0% /0%	0% /0%	0%	
	dispatched workers	dispatched workers	dispatched workers		dispatched workers (Target)	10 REDUCED INEQUALIT
	employees, individual contractors and	employees, individual contractors and	employees, individual contractors and	individual contractors and dispatched workers	employees, individual contractors and	
	% of all employees paid below living wage, including direct	% of all employees paid below living wage, including direct	% of all employees paid below living wage, including direct	% of all employees paid below living wage, including direct employees,	% of all employees paid below living wage, including direct	

Rights	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0	
Human	reported on Discrimination by Suppliers	reported on Discrimination by Suppliers	reported on Discrimination by Suppliers	on Discrimination by Suppliers	reported on Discrimination by Suppliers (Target)	
	# of Complaints	# of Complaints	0/0 # of Complaints	# of Complaints reported	# of Complaints	
	# of Complaints reported on Discrimination (Internal)	# of Complaints reported on Discrimination (Internal)	# of Complaints reported on Discrimination (Internal)	# of Complaints reported on Discrimination (Internal)	# of Complaints reported on Discrimination (Internal) (Target)	1=1
	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0	10 REDUCED INEQUALITI
	# of Complaints reported on Sexual Harassment	# of Complaints reported on Sexual Harassment	# of Complaints reported on Sexual Harassment	# of Complaints reported on Sexual Harassment	# of Complaints reported on Sexual Harassment (Target)	
	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0	
	reported on Child Labour / Human Trafficking	reported on Child Labour / Human Trafficking	reported on Child Labour / Human Trafficking	on Child Labour / Human Trafficking	reported on Child Labour / Human Trafficking (Target)	
	# of Complaints	100%/100% # of Complaints	100%/100% # of Complaints	# of Complaints reported	100% # of Complaints	
	received regular performance and career development reviews	performance and career development reviews	performance and career development reviews	performance and career development reviews	received regular performance and career development reviews (Target)	
	% of the total workforce across all locations who	% of the total workforce across all locations who received regular	% of the total workforce across all locations who received regular	% of the total workforce across all locations who received regular	% of the total workforce across all locations who	

	# of Complaints	# of Complaints	# of Complaints	# of Complaints reported	# of Complaints
	reported on	reported on	reported on	on Discrimination	reported on
	Discrimination	Discrimination	Discrimination	by Customers	Discrimination
	by Customers	by Customers	by Customers		by Customers (Target)
	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0
	# of Complaints	# of Complaints	# of Complaints	# of Complaints reported	# of Complaints
	reported on	reported on	reported on	on Discrimination	reported on
	Discrimination	Discrimination	Discrimination	by Other Stakeholders	Discrimination
	by Other Stakeholders	by Other Stakeholders	by Other Stakeholders		by Other Stakeholders
					(Target)
	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0
	# of Complaints	# of Complaints	# of Complaints	# of Complaints reported	# of Complaints
	reported on Data	reported on Data	reported on Data	on Data Privacy / Security	reported on Data
	Privacy / Security	Privacy / Security	Privacy / Security	(Internal)	Privacy / Security
	(Internal)	(Internal)	(Internal)		(Internal)
	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0
	# of Complaints	# of Complaints	# of Complaints	# of Complaints reported	# of Complaints
	reported on Data	reported on Data	reported on Data	on Data Privacy / Security	reported on Data
	Privacy / Security by	Privacy / Security by	Privacy / Security by	by Suppliers	Privacy / Security by
	Suppliers	Suppliers	Suppliers		Suppliers
	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0
	# of Complaints	# of Complaints	# of Complaints	# of Complaints reported	# of Complaints
	reported on Data	reported on Data	reported on Data	on Data Privacy / Security	reported on Data
	Privacy / Security	Privacy / Security	Privacy / Security	by Customers	Privacy / Security
Human	by Customers	by Customers	by Customers		by Customers
Rights		0.00	0.40	0.40	
	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0

# of Complaints	# of Complaints	# of Complaints	# of Complaints reported	# of Complaints
reported on Data	reported on Data	reported on Data	on Data Privacy / Security	reported on Data
Privacy / Security	Privacy / Security	Privacy / Security	by Other Stakeholders	Privacy / Security
by Other Stakeholders	by Other Stakeholders	by Other Stakeholders		by Other Stakeholders
0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0
% of operational sites	% of operational sites	% of operational sites	% of operational sites	% of operational sites
assessed for human	assessed for human	assessed for human	assessed for human rights	assessed for human
rights risks	rights risks	rights risks	risks	rights risks
100 %	100 % /100%	100 %/100%	100 %/100%	100 %
% of all operational	% of all operational sites	% of all operational sites	% of all operational sites	% of all operational
sites that have been	that have been subject	that have been subject	that have been subject to	sites that have been
subject to human	to human rights reviews	to human rights reviews	human rights reviews or	subject to human
rights reviews or	or human rights impact	or human rights impact	human rights impact	rights reviews or
human rights impact	assessments	assessments	assessments	human rights impact
assessments				assessments
100 %	100 % /100%	100 % /100%	100 % /100%	100 %
External Stakeholder	External Stakeholder	External Stakeholder	External Stakeholder	External Stakeholder
Human Rights Impact	Human Rights Impact	Human Rights Impact	Human Rights Impact	Human Rights Impact
Reporting Compliance	Reporting Compliance	Reporting Compliance	Reporting Compliance	Reporting Compliance
Count (%)	Count (%)	Count (%)	Count (%)	Count (%)
				(Target)
100 %				
100 70	100 % /100%	100 %/100%	100 % /100%	100 %
People Trained on	People Trained on	People Trained on	People Trained on Human	People Trained on
Human Rights	Human Rights	Human Rights	Rights (Manhours)	Human Rights
(Manhours)	(Manhours)	(Manhours)		(Manhours)
				(Target)
		l .		İ
			2000hrs/1897Hr	2100hrs
1608hrs	1800hrs/1700	1900hrs/1750	2000hrs/1897Hr	2100hrs
1608hrs	1800hrs/1700 hrs	1900hrs/1750 hrs	2000hrs/1897Hr s	2100hrs

	% of the total workforce across all locations who received career- or skills-related training	% of the total workforce across all locations who received career- or skills-related training	% of the total workforce across all locations who received career- or skills-related training	% of the total workforce across all locations who received career- or skills- related training	% of the total workforce across all locations who received career- or skills-related training (Target)	
	100 %	100 % /100%	100 % /100%	100 %/100%	100 %	5 GENDER EQUALITY
Career Management & Training	People Trained on overall Career Management and Skill Development\ (Manhours)	People Trained on overall Career Management and Skill Development\ (Manhours)	People Trained on overall Career Management and Skill Development\ (Manhours)	People Trained on overall Career Management and Skill Development\ (Manhours)	People Trained on overall Career Management and Skill Development\ (Manhours) (Target)	EQUALITY
	1500hrs	1700hrs/1600	1800hrs	1900hrs	2000hrs	
	Awareness Session conducted on Career Management	Awareness Session conducted on Career Management	Awareness Session conducted on Career Management	Awareness Session conducted on Career Management	Awareness Session conducted on Career Management (Target)	
	03	05/04	07/05	10/08	12	
	Gender balance at Worker level (Women to Men %)	Gender balance at Worker level (Women to Men %)	Gender balance at Worker level (Women to Men %)	Gender balance at Worker level (Women to Men %)	Gender balance at Worker level (Women to Men %) (Target)	
Gender	25%	15%/20%	10%/10%	5%/	5%	
Equality	Gender balance at Executive level (Women to Men %)	Gender balance at Executive level (Women to Men %)	Gender balance at Executive level (Women to Men %)	Gender balance at Executive level (Women to Men %)	Gender balance at Executive level (Women to Men %) (Target)	
	15%	10%/10%	10%/10%	10%/10%	10%	

Gender balance at Top / Key Manager level (Women to Men %)	Gender balance at Top / Key Manager level (Women to Men %)	Gender balance at Top / Key Manager level (Women to Men %)	Gender balance at Top / Key Manager level (Women to Men %)	Gender balance at Top / Key Manager level (Women to Men %) (Target)	
5%	7%/5%	10%/7%	10%/9%	10%	8 DECEN
Gender balance of Employees in whole organization (Women to Men %)	Gender balance of Employees in whole organization (Women to Men %)	Gender balance of Employees in whole organization (Women to Men %)	Gender balance of Employees in whole organization (Women to Men %)	Gender balance of Employees in whole organization (Women to Men %)	î
1%	4%/ <mark>2%</mark>	10%/6%	15%/10%	18%	
Gender balance of total workforce ((Women to Men %)	Gender balance of total workforce ((Women to Men %)	Gender balance of total workforce ((Women to Men %)	Gender balance of total workforce ((Women to Men %)	Gender balance of total workforce ((Women to Men %) (Target)	
45%	32%/38%	30%/36%	30%/37%	30%	
Gender balance at organization board (Women to Men %)	Gender balance at organization board (Women to Men %)	Gender balance at organization board (Women to Men %)	Gender balance at organization board (Women to Men %)	Gender balance at organization board (Women to Men %)	
20%	29%/30%	35%/37%	45%/46%	50%	
Average unadjusted gender pay gap (Woman to man %)	Average unadjusted gender pay gap (Woman to man %)	Average unadjusted gender pay gap (Woman to man %)	Average unadjusted gender pay gap (Woman to man %)	Average unadjusted gender pay gap (Woman to man %) (Target)	
0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0	
People Trained on Gender Equality (Manhours)	People Trained on Gender Equality (Manhours)	People Trained on Gender Equality (Manhours)	People Trained on Gender Equality (Manhours)	People Trained on Gender Equality (Manhours) (Target)	

	1000hrs	1300hrs/1200	1400hrs/1390	1400hrs/1397hr	1500hrs
			hrs	S	
	% of the total workforce trained on diversity, discrimination and/or harassment	% of the total workforce trained on diversity, discrimination and/or harassment	% of the total workforce trained on diversity, discrimination and/or harassment	% of the total workforce trained on diversity, discrimination and/or harassment	% of the total workforce trained on diversity, discrimination and/or harassment
	50%	70%/55%	100%/65%	100%/67%	100%
	# of Hours Worked (Manhours)	# of Hours Worked (Manhours)	# of Hours Worked (Manhours)	# of Hours Worked (Manhours)	# of Hours Worked (Manhours)
	220000 hrs	230000 hrs/	235000	240000	35000 hrs
		232572 hrs	hrs/237541hrs	hrs/242472	
Working	% of your plants and offices that were assessed	% of your plants and offices that were assessed	% of your plants and offices that were assessed	% of your plants and offices that were assessed	% of your plants and offices that were assessed
Conditions	100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%
	Incident of non- potable drinking water identification	Incident of non-potable drinking water identification	Incident of non-potable drinking water identification	Incident of non-potable drinking water identification	Incident of non- potable drinking water identification
	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0
	% of the total workforce trained on Diversity, Equity & Inclusion	% of the total workforce trained on Diversity, Equity & Inclusion	% of the total workforce trained on Diversity, Equity & Inclusion	% of the total workforce trained on Diversity, Equity & Inclusion	% of the total workforce trained on Diversity, Equity & Inclusion (Target)
	50%	60%	60%	80%	90%

	0/	0/	0/	0,	0/	
	% employees covered in awareness program	% employees covered in awareness program	% employees covered in	% employees covered in	% employees covered in awareness program	
	in awareness program	awareness program	awareness program	awareness program	in awareness program	
	100%	100% /100%	100% /100%	100% /100%	100%	
	Incident of non- compliance of working conditions principles	Incident of non- compliance of working conditions principles	Incident of non- compliance of working conditions principles	Incident of non-compliance of working conditions principles	Incident of non- compliance of working conditions principles	
	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0	
	Percentage of operational facilities that are certified ISO 45001 (%)	Percentage of operational facilities that are certified ISO 45001 (%)	Percentage of operational facilities that are certified ISO 45001 (%)	Incident of non-compliance of working conditions principles	Percentage of operational facilities that are certified ISO 45001(target) (%)	17 PARTNERSHIPS FOR THE GOALS
	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	100%	89
Certificate-on	Percentage of operational facilities that are certified SA8000 (%)	Percentage of operational facilities that are certified SA8000 (%)	Percentage of operational facilities that are certified SA8000 (%)	Percentage of operational facilities that are certified SA8000 (%)	Percentage of operational facilities that are certified SA8000 (target)(%)	•
	0%	0%/0%	0%/0%	0%/0%	100%	
	SMETA Certification	SMETA Certification	SMETA Certification	SMETA Certification	SMETA Certification	
	100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%	
	Amount Spent on CSR (Lakh)	Amount Spent on CSR (Lakh)	Amount Spent on CSR (Lakh)	Amount Spent on CSR (Lakh)	Amount Spent on CSR (Lakh)	
Corporate	,		,	,		
Social	30	50/50	60/60	65/65	70	
	# of social initiatives	# of social initiatives at	# of Social initiatives at	# of Social initiatives at	# of Social initiatives at	
Responsibility	at National and Local	National and Local level	National and Local level	National and Local level	National and Local	
	level 10	12/14	TPD	TPD	level	
	10	12/14	TBD	TBD	TBD	

			GOVERNANCE			
ESG Area	2022 Baseline	2023 Target / Actual Performance	2024 Target / Actual Performance	2025 Target / Actual Performance (Jan to Jul)	2030 Target	UN - SDGs
	# of Complaints reported on Corruption & Bribery	# of Complaints reported on Corruption & Bribery	# of Complaints reported on Corruption & Bribery	# of Complaints reported on Corruption & Bribery	# of Complaints reported on Corruption & Bribery	
	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	
	Percentage of Trading Partners Covered by Due Diligence on Corruption (%)	Percentage of Trading Partners Covered by Due Diligence on Corruption (%)	Percentage of Trading Partners Covered by Due Diligence on Corruption (%)	Percentage of Trading Partners Covered by Due Diligence on Corruption (%)	Percentage of Trading Partners Covered by Due Diligence on Corruption (%)	
	100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%	
Anti-	People Trained on Anti-	People Trained on	People Trained on Anti-	People Trained on Anti-	People Trained on Anti-	16 PEACE, JUSTICE AND STRONG
Corruption	Corruption & Bribery (in Manhours)	Anti-Corruption & Bribery (in Manhours)	Corruption & Bribery (in Manhours)	Corruption & Bribery (in Manhours)	Corruption & Bribery (in Manhours)	INSTITUTIONS
& Bribery			400hrs/420hRS	,	,	
	135hrs	300hrs/350	400111S/42011K3	Souris/S turits	550115	=
	D (hrs	D		D	
	Percentage of operational sites certified with anticorruption management system (ISO 37001) (%)	Percentage of operational sites certified with anticorruption management system (ISO 37001) (%)	Percentage of operational sites certified with anti-corruption management system (ISO 37001) (%)	Percentage of operational sites certified with anti-corruption management system (ISO 37001) (%)	Percentage of operational sites certified with anticorruption management system (ISO 37001) (%) (Target)	
	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	100%	

	Percentage of operational sites certified with anti-information security management system (ISO 27001) (%)	Percentage of operational sites certified with anti-information security management system (ISO 27001) (%)	Percentage of operational sites certified with anti-information security management system (ISO 27001) (%)	Percentage of operational sites certified with anti- information security management system (ISO 27001) (%)	Percentage of operational sites certified with anti-information security management system (ISO 27001) (%) (Target)	
	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	100%	
	Data Breach Incidents:	Data Breach Incidents:	Data Breach Incidents:	Data Breach Incidents:	Data Breach Incidents:	
	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0	
Information Management	Percentage of Trading Partners Covered by Due Diligence on Information Security (%)	Percentage of Trading Partners Covered by Due Diligence on Information Security (%)	Percentage of Trading Partners Covered by Due Diligence on Information Security (%)	Percentage of Trading Partners Covered by Due Diligence on Information Security (%)	Percentage of Trading Partners Covered by Due Diligence on Information Security (%)	
	100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%	17 PARTNERSHIPS FOR THE GOALS
	Data Retention Compliance:	Data Retention Compliance:	Data Retention Compliance:	Data Retention Compliance:	Data Retention Compliance:	
	100%	100%/100%	100% /100%	100%/100%	100%	
	User Complaints:	User Complaints:	User Complaints:	User Complaints:	User Complaints:	
	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	
Value Chain	Suppliers evaluated for ESG Performance (in %)	Suppliers evaluated for ESG Performance (in %)	Suppliers evaluated for ESG Performance (in %)	Suppliers evaluated for ESG Performance (in %)	Suppliers evaluated for ESG Performance (in %)	
	50%	100%/75%	100%/85%	100%/90%	100 %/	
	Suppliers evaluated for CSR Reporting (Onsite) (in %)	Suppliers evaluated for CSR Reporting (Onsite)	Suppliers evaluated for CSR Reporting (Onsite) (in %)	Suppliers evaluated for CSR Reporting (Onsite) (in %)	Suppliers evaluated for CSR Reporting (Onsite) (in %)	

	(in %)			
50%	100%/75%	100%/ 80%	100% /85%	100 %
Suppliers evaluated for CSR Assessment (in %)	Suppliers evaluated for CSR Assessment (in %)	Suppliers evaluated for CSR Assessment (in %)	Suppliers evaluated for CSR Assessment (in %)	Suppliers evaluated for CSR Assessment (in %)
NIL	20%/25 %	30%/40 %	40 %/40 %	100 %
Average Number of Non-Conformities Found per supplier	Average Number of Non-Conformities Found per supplier	Average Number of Non- Conformities Found per supplier	Average Number of Non- Conformities Found per supplier	Average Number of Non-Conformities Found per supplier
0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0
Avg Number of Corrective Actions taken / Supplier	Avg Number of Corrective Actions taken / Supplier	Avg Number of Corrective Actions taken / Supplier	Avg Number of Corrective Actions taken / Supplier	Avg Number of Corrective Actions taken / Supplier
0	0/0	NA	NA	NA
Suppliers in Agreement with company Policies (in %)	Suppliers in Agreement with company Policies (in %)	Suppliers in Agreement with company Policies (in %)	Suppliers in Agreement with company Policies (in %)	Suppliers in Agreement with company Policies (in %)
100 %	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100 %
Suppliers in Agreement with company's Supplier Code of Conduct (in %)	Suppliers in Agreement with company's Supplier Code of Conduct (in %)	Suppliers in Agreement with company's Supplier Code of Conduct (in %)	Suppliers in Agreement with company's Supplier Code of Conduct (in %)	Suppliers in Agreement with company's Supplier Code of Conduct (in %)
100 %	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100 %

	Integration of	Integration of	Integration of	Integration of	Integration of
	sustainability clauses in	sustainability clauses	sustainability clauses in	sustainability clauses in	sustainability clauses in
	supplier contracts	in supplier contracts	supplier contracts	supplier contracts	supplier contracts
	100%	100%/65%	100%/75%	100%/80%	100 %
	Avg Number of Non- Conformities Found per	Avg Number of Non- Conformities Found	Avg Number of Non- Conformities Found per	Avg Number of Non- Conformities Found per	Avg Number of Non- Conformities Found per
	supplier	per supplier	supplier	supplier	supplier
	0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0
	Incident of Deceptive Advertising:	Incident of Deceptive Advertising:	Incident of Deceptive Advertising:	Incident of Deceptive Advertising:	Incident of Deceptive Advertising:
Anti-	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
Competitive Practice	% departments covered under Risk Assessment Internal Audit	% departments covered under Risk Assessment Internal Audit	% departments covered under Risk Assessment Internal Audit	% departments covered under Risk Assessment Internal Audit	% departments covered under Risk Assessment Internal Audit
	100 %	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100 %
	Percentage of total	Percentage of total	Percentage of total	Percentage of total	Percentage of total
	workforce trained on	workforce trained on	workforce trained on	workforce trained on	workforce trained on
—	business ethics issues (%)	business ethics issues (%)	business ethics issues (%)	business ethics issues (%)	business ethics issues (%)
	100 %	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%
Ethics	Employee feedback on	Employee feedback on	' '	Employee feedback on	Employee feedback on
				Lathice training	ethics training
	ethics training	ethics training	ethics training	ethics training	
	(satisfaction rate)	ethics training (satisfaction rate)	(satisfaction rate)	(satisfaction rate)	(satisfaction rate)
	(satisfaction rate)	(satisfaction rate)	(satisfaction rate)	(satisfaction rate)	(satisfaction rate)

	internal audit/risk assessment concerning business ethics issues has been conducted	internal audit/risk assessment concerning business ethics issues has been conducted	audit/risk assessment concerning business ethics issues has been conducted	audit/risk assessment concerning business ethics issues has been conducted	internal audit/risk assessment concerning business ethics issues has been conducted	
	100 %	100%/100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100 %	
	Number of whistleblower reports received	Number of whistleblower reports received	Number of whistleblower reports received	Number of whistleblower reports received	Number of whistleblower reports received	
	NIL	NIL/NIL	NIL/NIL	NIL/NIL	NIL	
	# complaints related to use of products	# complaints related to use of products	# complaints related to use of products	# complaints related to use of products	# complaints related to use of products	
Customer	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0	
Health and Safety	Conduct Customer safety training sessions of Customers	Conduct Customer safety training sessions of Customers	Conduct Customer safety training sessions of Customers	Conduct Customer safety training sessions of Customers	Conduct Customer safety training sessions of Customers	
	65%	100%/75%	100 %/85%	100 %/90%	100%/	
	Customer Participation Rate in Sustainability Session / Meeting	Customer Participation Rate in Sustainability Session / Meeting	Customer Participation Rate in Sustainability Session / Meeting	Customer Participation Rate in Sustainability Session / Meeting	Customer Participation Rate in Sustainability Session / Meeting	
Social	65%	100%/75%	100 %/90%	100 %/90%	100 %/	17 PARTNERSHIPS FOR THE GOALS
Dialogue	Customer Feedback of Satisfaction Rate in Sustainability Session / Meeting	Customer Feedback of Satisfaction Rate in Sustainability Session / Meeting	Customer Feedback of Satisfaction Rate in Sustainability Session / Meeting	Customer Feedback of Satisfaction Rate in Sustainability Session / Meeting	Customer Feedback of Satisfaction Rate in Sustainability Session / Meeting	
	65%	100%/75%	100 %/85%	100 %/90%	100 %	

% of the total workforce across all locations who are covered by formal collective agreements concerning working conditions	% of the total workforce across all locations who are covered by formal collective agreements concerning working conditions	% of the total workforce across all locations who are covered by formal collective agreements concerning working conditions	% of the total workforce across all locations who are covered by formal collective agreements concerning working conditions	% of the total workforce across all locations who are covered by formal collective agreements concerning working conditions
100%	100%	100%/100%	100%/100%	100% /
Complaints registered on the violation of Collective Bargaining Agreement	Complaints registered on the violation of Collective Bargaining Agreement	Complaints registered on the violation of Collective Bargaining Agreement	Complaints registered on the violation of Collective Bargaining Agreement	Complaints registered on the violation of Collective Bargaining Agreement
	0/0	0/0	0/0	0 /



Independent Assurance on verification of ESG KPI ROADMAP UPTO 2030.

Growlity/AR/891827

Reporting Period: January 2022 to December 2022

January 2023 to December 2023

January 2024 to December 2024

January 2025 to July 2025

The Management

Tulip Creation

Independent Assurance Report

Growlity Private Limited was appointed by **Tulip Creation**. Referred to as 'the Company') to conduct a limited assurance engagement on the ESG and sustainability information presented in the Company's ESG KPI ROADMAP UPTO 2030. This critical task involved a thorough examination to verify the accuracy and reliability of the sustainability data disclosed in the document along with the material topics identified by Materiality Assessment between their stake holders. The sustainability information provided within the report is in accordance to the Global Reporting Initiative's (GRI) Universal Standards 2021. The company has also followed guidelines of ISO 14064-1:2018 and Green House Gas Protocol standard, ensuring that the reported data aligns with globally recognized sustainability reporting frameworks. This engagement by Growlity Private Limited underscores the Company's commitment to transparency and accountability in its sustainability practices, highlighting its dedication to adhering to international standards for reporting on its environmental, social, and governance (ESG) efforts

Scope of Assurance and Methodology

The Scope of our work for this assurance engagement was limited to review of information pertaining to environmental, social and Governance performance such as Commitments / KPIs and Green House Gas (GHG) Emissions Inventory for the mentioned reporting period and to verify it in accordance with GRI universal standards 2021. We conducted, on a sample basis, review and verification of data collection / calculation methodology and general review of the logic on inclusion / omission of necessary relevant information / data and this was limited to: Remote verification of data, on a selective test basis, for the following units / locations, through consultations with the site team and sustainability team.

Execution of audit trail of claims and data streams, on a selective test basis, to determine the level of accuracy in collection, transcription and aggregation processes followed;

Review of Company's plans, policies and practices, pertaining to their Social, Environmental and sustainable development, so as to be able to make comments on the fairness of sustainability

GROWLITY PRIVATE LIMITED 316, Rajhans Montessa, Airport Rd, Surat 395007,

contact@growlity.com

www.growlity.com

reporting. Review of company's approach towards materiality assessment disclosed in the report to identify relevant issues.

Locations Covered

All locations, including manufacturing facilities, warehouses, subsidiaries, and offices, were covered to collect data and prepare the ESG KPI ROADMAP UPTO 2030. Below is the list for reference.

Sr. No.	Site Name	Location
1.	Tulip Creation	D.No.3/10 C Athupalayam Road,11,Chettipalayam Village,Poondi 641652 Tirupur, Tamilnadu India

Our Observations

The Company has demonstrated its commitment to sustainable development by reporting its performance on various material topics for the year 2025. The Company has prepared report having sustainability data in accordance with GRI universal standards 2021. The Report includes a description of the ESG Performance in terms of Key Performance Indicators (KPI) and verification of GHG Emissions of Scope 1, Scope 2 and Scope 3. There is further scope to strengthen data management system to ensure uniform and accurate reporting. Areas of further improvement wherever identified have been brought before the attention of the management of the company.

Conclusion

On the basis of our procedure for this limited assurance, nothing has come to our attention that causes us not to believe that the company has reported on material sustainability issues relevant to its business.

Dr. Nitin Dumasia President

& CEO

Date: 15th July 2025

Place: Surat